REMARKS

The Official Action mailed October 1, 2004, has been received and its contents carefully noted. This response is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Official Action and therefore is believed to be timely without extension of time. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicants note with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on June 9, 2004.

The Applicants note the partial consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed on February 28, 2001. Specifically, it appears that the Examiner has crossed through the citation of five (5) Japanese references (JP 10-215291, 08-307408, 09-186730, 09-321813 and 09-199997) and has written "not in English" in the left margin. However, the Applicants respectfully submit that the five (5) Japanese references noted above must be considered since they were cited on Form PTO-1449 in the IDS filed February 28, 2001, in full compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. "An information disclosure statement filed in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98 will be considered by the examiner assigned to the application" (MPEP 609, emphasis added).

Also, MPEP § 609, Section III.A(3) states the following:

Where the information listed is not in the English language, but was cited in a search report or other action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance can be satisfied by submitting an English-language version of the search report or action which indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office. This may be an explanation of which portion of the reference is particularly relevant, to which claims it applies, or merely an "X", "Y", or "A" indication on a search report.

Further, MPEP § 609 states that information which complies with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 but which is in a non-English language will be considered insofar as it is understood on its face, e.g., drawings, chemical formulas, in the same manner that non-English language information in Office search files is considered by examiners in conducting searches.

Still further, it is noted that the five (5) Japanese references were "A" references originally cited in the International Search Report, a translated copy of which has been submitted, which define "the general state of the art" (MPEP Appendix AI, § 507(c) of the Administrative Instructions of the Patent Cooperation Treaty).

Therefore, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner provide an initialed copy of the Form PTO-1449 evidencing consideration of the five (5) Japanese references noted above.

As a courtesy to the Examiner, the Applicant has attached a clean copy of the Form PTO-1449 submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed February 28, 2001. It is noted that the Applicant is merely resubmitting the above Form as a courtesy to the Examiner. It is respectfully submitted that the above-referenced documents were properly and timely filed on February 28, 2001, and should be accorded their filing date for the purposes of consideration and compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

Claims 1-8 and 10 are pending in the present application, of which claims 1 and 7 are independent. Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 have been amended to correct minor matters of form. The Applicants note with appreciation the allowance of claims 7, 8 and 10 and the indication of the allowability of claims 1-6 (page 2, Paper No. 5). For the reasons set forth in detail below, all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Paragraph 2 of the Official Action rejects claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for lacking antecedent basis for "the carrier frequency" in claim 1 and "said correlation" in claim 4. In response, claim 1 has been amended to recite "a carrier frequency" and claim 4 has been amended to recite "said auto-correlation." Claims 7 and 10 have been amended to correct minor grammatical and typographical errors. The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 4 are definite as amended. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are in order and respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric J. Robinson

Reg. No. 38,285

Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C. **PMB 955** 21010 Southbank Street Potomac Falls, Virginia 20165 (571) 434-6789

THAT THAT	Pleased upe a plus sign (+) inside this box → [+] Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requi				PTO/SB/08A (08-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE uired to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.		
	Substitute for form 1449A/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				Complete if Known		
					Application Number		
					Filing Date	February 28, 2001	
	Substitute for form 1449A/PTO	LICANT	First Named Inventor	Atsushi SHINODA et al.			
		(use as many she	ets as necessa	(עזו)	Group Art Unit		
					Examiner Name		
	Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	740670-255	
				' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ····································	 	

				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENT	rs		
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited	Date of Publication of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	
		Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Document	MM-DD-YYYY		
	-					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Examiner Initials	Cite No.	For	reign Patent Document	Name of Patentee or	Date of Publication of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or			
		Kind Code ³ Office ³ Number ⁴ (if known)		Applicant of Cited Document	MM-DD-YYYY	Relevant Figures Appear	T*		
		Japan	11-098432		04/09/1999				
		Еигоре	0 940 957 A1	Shibuya et al.	09/08/1999		Full		
		Japan	10-215291		08/11/1998				
		Japan	08-307408		11/22/1996				
		Japan	09-186730		07/15/1997				
		Japan	09-321813		12/12/1997				
		Japan	09-199997		07/31/1997				
		PCT	WO99/14914	Shibyua et al.	03/25/1999		Abstrac		
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1		Include name of the a	PR ART - NON PATENT LITE uthor (in CAPITAL LETTERS), journal, serial, symposium, catal publisher, city and/or coun	title of the article (when appro og, etc.)., date, page(s), volum		T ²		
		International Search Report							

Examiner	Date
Signature	Considered

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ² See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). 4 For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. 5 Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. 6 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

¹ Unique citation designation number. 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.